Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization Vol. 16, Issue. 1 : 2025 ISSN : **1906-9685**



WEB VULNARABILITY DETECTION USING MACHINE LEARNING

¹Dr.B.R.S.REDDY, ²A HIMA VARSHINI SAI, ³PRATHI DHASWANTH NAG, ⁴MADDALA ANKITHA, ⁵PANDRAJU N.V.S.ROHITH

¹ PROFESSOR, ²³⁴⁵B.TECH, STUDENTS

DEPARTMENT OF CSE, SRI VASAVI INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY NANDAMURU, ANDHRA PRADESH.

ABSTRACT

Web applications become have а fundamental part of modern businesses, enabling services. products, and communication to be available online. However, with the increasing reliance on applications, there has been a web corresponding rise in web vulnerabilities, which pose significant risks to the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of sensitive information. Detecting these vulnerabilities in web applications is a critical task for ensuring cybersecurity and safeguarding users' data. Traditional methods of detecting vulnerabilities, such as manual code inspection and penetration are labor-intensive and timetesting. consuming, often failing to identify complex or subtle vulnerabilities. In contrast, machine learning (ML) techniques have

shown significant promise in automating vulnerability detection by leveraging large datasets to identify patterns and potential security flaws in web applications.

This paper explores the application of machine learning techniques in detecting web vulnerabilities. We review various approaches to vulnerability detection, highlighting the strengths and limitations of existing methods, and propose a novel machine learning-based framework for web vulnerability detection. The framework integrates various ML algorithms to identify vulnerabilities in web applications in an efficient and scalable manner, providing automated tools that enhance the security testing process. By using a combination of supervised learning, unsupervised learning, and feature engineering, the proposed method aims to improve the accuracy and effectiveness of web vulnerability detection systems. Finally, the paper discusses the challenges and potential future directions for applying machine learning in this domain.

1.INTRODUCTION

Web vulnerabilities are a major concern for the cybersecurity of modern organizations. As businesses increasingly depend on online e-commerce, platforms for banking. healthcare, and other services, the potential risks from web vulnerabilities also rise. Cybercriminals often exploit these vulnerabilities to gain unauthorized access, manipulate sensitive data, or disrupt service delivery. Web applications, often exposed to the internet, are susceptible to a wide variety of security threats, including SQL injection, cross-site scripting (XSS), cross-site request forgery (CSRF), and remote code execution. The rapid growth of web technologies and their integration into everyday life has made it imperative to develop robust security detect mitigate measures to and vulnerabilities in web applications.

Traditional vulnerability detection methods, such as static code analysis, dynamic analysis, and manual penetration testing, have been commonly used in the past. However, these methods are often resourceintensive, time-consuming, and may not detect all vulnerabilities, especially those that are complex or not easily identifiable through human inspection. Static code analysis, for example, typically checks the source code for known patterns of vulnerabilities but fails to account for runtime behavior. Similarly, dynamic testing, which involves running the application and interacting with it, can miss vulnerabilities that only manifest under specific conditions.

In recent years, machine learning (ML) has emerged as a promising alternative for web vulnerability detection. ML techniques can automate the identification of vulnerabilities by learning from large datasets of known vulnerabilities, enabling systems to detect and predict new threats in a more efficient manner. With the advent of deep learning, the ability to model more complex relationships in data has opened up new opportunities for improving the accuracy and efficiency of vulnerability detection systems.

Machine learning algorithms can be used to analyze large amounts of data generated by web applications, such as server logs, source These code. and user interactions. algorithms can detect patterns that may indicate the presence of a security vulnerability, enabling early detection and prevention of attacks. Additionally, machine learning models can be trained to classify different types of vulnerabilities, allowing for more targeted remediation and security patching.

The purpose of this paper is to explore the use of machine learning techniques in web vulnerability detection, evaluate existing methods, and propose a novel framework for improving detection accuracy. The framework incorporates a combination of unsupervised learning supervised and approaches to identify vulnerabilities in both source code and web application behavior. Furthermore, it emphasizes the importance of feature engineering, data preprocessing,

and model optimization to enhance the performance of machine learning-based vulnerability detection systems.

2.LITERATURE SURVEY

Over the past few years, several studies have explored the application of machine learning techniques for web vulnerability detection. The research on this topic can be broadly categorized into two main areas: static analysis and dynamic analysis. Both of these approaches aim to detect security flaws in web applications, but they differ in how they analyze the application and the data they use for training machine learning models.

One of the earliest studies in this area was by Xie et al. (2017), who explored the use of machine learning algorithms to detect SQL injection vulnerabilities in web applications. The authors proposed a supervised learning model that was trained on a labeled dataset containing examples of SQL injection attacks. By using features such as SQL keywords, query structures, and data flow patterns, the model was able to identify potential SQL injection vulnerabilities with high accuracy. This study demonstrated the potential of machine learning in detecting specific types of vulnerabilities, such as SQL injection, in web applications.

Similarly, in 2018, Wang et al. used a machine learning-based approach to detect cross-site scripting (XSS) vulnerabilities. The researchers proposed a method that combined supervised learning with feature extraction techniques to classify web pages as either vulnerable or non-vulnerable to XSS attacks. By analyzing HTML and

JavaScript code, the model was able to identify XSS vulnerabilities with a significant reduction in false positives compared to traditional methods. This study highlighted the advantages of machine learning in detecting web vulnerabilities that are difficult to spot through manual inspection.

In another study, Xu et al. (2019) focused on using machine learning for the detection of of web application multiple types vulnerabilities, including SQL injection, XSS, and remote file inclusion (RFI). The authors applied a deep learning model, specifically a convolutional neural network (CNN), to analyze source code and identify vulnerabilities. They trained the model on a large dataset of known vulnerabilities and demonstrated that CNNs could effectively detect a wide range of security flaws in web applications. This approach showed that deep learning models, particularly CNNs, could automatically learn complex patterns from raw data and outperform traditional vulnerability detection techniques.

Other studies have focused on using unsupervised learning methods to detect vulnerabilities. For example, Yang et al. (2020) proposed an unsupervised learningapproach that used based clustering algorithms to identify anomalous behavior in web applications. By analyzing the interaction patterns between users and web applications, the model was able to detect abnormal activities that could indicate the presence of a vulnerability, such as a potential SQL injection or XSS attack. This approach showed that unsupervised learning could be used to detect previously unknown vulnerabilities by analyzing patterns of behavior rather than relying on labeled datasets.

In addition to these specific vulnerability detection tasks, other research has focused on integrating machine learning into more comprehensive vulnerability detection systems. For instance, Ribeiro et al. (2021) proposed a hybrid system that combined static code analysis with machine learning algorithms to detect a wide range of vulnerabilities in web applications. The system used static code features, such as function calls, variable names, and control flow structures, as input to a machine learning model that was trained to classify vulnerable code segments. This hybrid approach allowed for more accurate and efficient detection of web vulnerabilities by combining the strengths of both static and machine learning-based methods.

The literature also highlights several challenges in applying machine learning to web vulnerability detection. One major challenge is the availability of labeled data for training machine learning models. Vulnerability datasets can be difficult to obtain, as they often require extensive manual labeling and may not fully represent the variety of vulnerabilities that exist in real-world applications. To address this issue, researchers have explored the use of synthetic datasets or transfer learning, where models trained on one set of vulnerabilities are adapted to detect new or unknown vulnerabilities in different contexts.

Another challenge is the problem of false positives, where the machine learning model

incorrectly classifies a non-vulnerable web application as vulnerable. Several studies have proposed techniques for reducing false positives, such as ensemble methods, feature selection, and anomaly detection, which can improve the accuracy of machine learningbased vulnerability detection systems.

3.EXISTING METHODS

Traditional methods for detecting web vulnerabilities include manual code inspection, static analysis, and dynamic analysis. These techniques have been widely used for identifying vulnerabilities in web applications, but they often have limitations in terms of scalability, accuracy, and efficiency.

Manual code inspection involves reviewing the source code of a web application to identify potential security flaws. While this method is highly accurate, it is timeconsuming and labor-intensive, making it impractical for large web applications or for continuous monitoring of web services. Moreover, manual inspection may fail to identify vulnerabilities that arise from complex interactions between different components of a web application.

Static analysis tools analyze the source code or binary code of an application to detect vulnerabilities without executing the program. These tools can identify a wide range of issues, such as buffer overflows, SQL injections, and cross-site scripting (XSS) vulnerabilities. However, static analysis tools often produce a large number of false positives, requiring manual verification, and may not be able to detect vulnerabilities that only manifest during runtime.

Dynamic analysis, on the other hand, involves executing the web application and interacting with it in real time to observe its behavior. This technique can identify vulnerabilities that are not apparent through static analysis, such as race conditions, logic flaws, and runtime vulnerabilities. However, dynamic analysis can be computationally expensive and may miss vulnerabilities that require specific conditions to trigger.

Recent advances in machine learning have led to the development of more automated vulnerability methods for detection. Machine learning-based approaches can analyze large datasets of web application traffic, server logs, or source code to identify patterns that indicate the presence of vulnerabilities. These methods can be broadly categorized into supervised learning, unsupervised learning, and hybrid approaches.

Supervised learning methods require labeled datasets of vulnerable and non-vulnerable web applications. These datasets are used to train machine learning models to classify new applications based on their features. Popular supervised learning algorithms used for vulnerability detection include decision trees, support vector machines (SVM), and random forests. These models have been applied to detect specific types of vulnerabilities, such as SQL injection and cross-site scripting. Unsupervised learning methods, on the other hand, do not require labeled datasets. Instead, they aim to identify anomalies or patterns of behavior that deviate from the norm. These methods can be particularly useful for detecting previously unknown vulnerabilities that may not be present in existing datasets. Clustering algorithms, such as k-means, and anomaly detection algorithms are commonly used in unsupervised learning-based vulnerability detection.

Hybrid approaches combine static analysis or dynamic analysis with machine learning algorithms to improve vulnerability detection. For example, some systems use static code analysis to extract features from the source code and then apply machine learning models to identify vulnerabilities. These hybrid methods aim to combine the strengths of both traditional analysis techniques and machine learning to provide more accurate and efficient vulnerability detection.

4.PROPOSED METHOD

The proposed method aims to improve the accuracy and efficiency of web vulnerability detection by integrating machine learning techniques with static and dynamic analysis methods. The approach consists of three main stages: feature extraction, model training, and vulnerability classification.

The first stage involves extracting relevant features from the web application's source code, user interactions, and server logs. Feature extraction plays a crucial role in the performance of machine learning models, as the quality of the features directly impacts the accuracy of the classification. Features may include keywords, function calls, control flow structures, and user behavior patterns. In addition, advanced techniques such as natural language processing (NLP) can be used to analyze the semantics of the code and extract high-level features related to security vulnerabilities.

The second stage involves training a machine learning model using the extracted features. Both supervised and unsupervised learning algorithms can be used in this stage. Supervised learning methods, such as decision trees, random forests, and deep learning models, are trained on a labeled dataset to classify vulnerable and non-vulnerable applications. Unsupervised learning methods can be used to detect anomalies or unknown vulnerabilities that may not be present in the training data.

The third stage involves classifying new web applications based on the trained machine learning model. The model is evaluated based on its performance metrics, such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1score. If the model detects a vulnerability, it can trigger an alert for further investigation.

5.OUTPUT SCREENSHOT

Running CMD:



Front Interface:

| (my alwa pamai) | | |
|-----------------|---------|--|
| | Testila | |

Giving Input :

| Web ApplicationVulnerability Detection | | | |
|--|------------------|--|--|
| 96/2231/100 | | | |
| | OwiNtal | | |
| | firm Attach Loca | | |

Predicting the Web Vulnerability:

| server, | | | | |
|-------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| | (and then | | | |
| | Detection Result | | | |
| Payland | 1000-1022-011-0000 | | | |
| Adapti Type | 2187 | | | |
| Colline | WE COOL FROM THE PARTY | | | |
| Bearly . | No. | | | |
| EVER home | 15 | | | |

Output:

| Attack Type | SSRF |
|-------------|--------------------|
| Confidence | 95,703904(1985)44% |
| Severity | New |

View Attack Logs:

| Terrara . | Particle | Annual Same | (and the second | denotes . | Table Incom |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--|------------------|------------|-------------|
| personal per un lur 4.4 | | 100 | 100.001/14 | (designed) | |
| Andrews (1) in the first | lighted. | Rid Ingenial | concerned. | 146 | 04 |
| pairies (h. ferdide) | - ge fiel | Rife Instance | 100.07976 | 1940 | 4.0 |
| (1000 cm (d) 14 cm (d) | 1.00000000 | Am Terrat | 101-04/15 | 140 | 18. |
| 1000-01-02-02-07 | 1000 | Renat | 90.794 | 10-0 | 0.0 |
| making weather | 1848 | Nerve | 00/709 | - tens | 44 |
| 007444-071010258 | - | Normal | 10111 | bire | ++ |
| 2221-04-02 14:12:50 | min . | Normal | 9821376 | No. | |
| Calment (2) 12 lot 14 | anget | Burnal . | 98177% | - | |
| 2000 and 20 19 (c) PG | | 200 | 100.0070 | (Anima) | 41 |
| 1000 million Add. 14 April 442 | grint | dist. Inseringer | 100.00% | 140 | 0.0 |
| 1000000 AU 1010 MI | . C. Antonio and | her bound | 100.001 | 146 | 18. |
| patron pt rent in- | 1.000 | Converse incident | 100.004 | 10040 | 4.0 |
| personal data included | Tel 446 (10) | 10.0 | 101101 | ine . | 8.8 |
| 1000 00 10 10 10 10 10 | -981-988-1992 | 76.0 | 100-1110 | 1994 | 0.0 |
| The second second second | an address in the | 199 | 100.00% | Median; | 87 |
| 1005-00-01 Mc121-04 | Cambooni | Patr Toronal | 1001075 | Har | 18 |
| 2020-04-012 11 2014 ¹⁷ | 102108-122 | 4.0 | section. | law- | 10 |
| instrumenta dal da cale das | | and the second sec | | | 1.4 |

| is presidently | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--------------------|-------------|-----------|--------|
| 100-010-010 | | Notice . | 199.000 | (three | 1940 |
| \$100-00-00 T1 MR.00 | maget(faiter | 499 | 1001-001 | Marine 1 | 0.00 |
| alastication in the late of | mantione | 404 | 101-010 | Manager (| |
| painte no ricer al | | 344 | 101.00 | Manine: | - et 1 |
| 0000-08-00 10.08-04 | singer | (actival) | (ed (Tryin) | Margare . | -94 |
| 200-08-01 10-02108 | (matrix | (berna) | 88795 | 10mm | -0.0 |
| 200.0112.01 | amain | Theorem | PR 112% | 1944 | 48. |
| opi-wile mimes | -mainty-pro- | 100 | 165.60 | Ma(hat) | 104.0 |
| 1006.44.08 FT 32-03 | -maint/inter | 100 | 103.00 | Mathin: | 41 |
| population 11 pp. 44 | element of the | 2010 | 183.505 | Induce | #1 |
| math-life struct fif | 2222 Addressing the Street and | Math-Treasured | 100.00. | 14gi | 12.0 |
| mphile (in 2018) W. | No. of the second se | (Nill Eserbal- | 180.00% | iter . | . 88 |
| 2001.00 (A 20.20 M | No.7127.031.1889 | 1497 | 18.20% | Him | 99 . |
| 10044400 | - Liferi | Hope Example | 180.00% | (equi | 1.0 |
| 00044-04.0038-07 | _pers(4). | UNP spectro | 100,00% | Manut- | 14 |
| net-relie minut | automatical and a second secon | Thread . | 88,79% | i line | 144 |
| 0.0000000000 | an appropriate (| the implementation | 100.005 | itaji | 144 |
| 101-10-10-20-21-01 | 4000 | 100 | 100.000 | Harita | -00 |
| 100.00.00.00.00 | 782 246 1 01. | 10.8 | 26,716, | 1000 | - 04 |
| 120.00.00.00.00 | 198-71218-8-10808 | main | 10.27% | TRAVE | 100 |

6. CONCLUSION

The detection of web vulnerabilities is an ongoing challenge in the field of cybersecurity. Traditional methods such as manual code inspection and static and dynamic analysis have limitations in terms of scalability, accuracy, and efficiency. Machine learning techniques, however, have shown great promise in automating vulnerability detection and improving the accuracy of existing systems. The proposed method integrates static and dynamic analysis with machine learning to improve the accuracy and efficiency of web vulnerability detection.

By leveraging the power of machine learning algorithms, the proposed method analyze large datasets of web can application traffic, server logs, and source code to identify potential vulnerabilities. This method can be applied to detect a wide range of vulnerabilities, including SQL injection, cross-site scripting (XSS), and remote file inclusion (RFI). Future work in this area may focus on improving the scalability and robustness of machine learning models, integrating real-time capabilities, monitoring and exploring advanced techniques such as transfer learning to improve the detection of unknown vulnerabilities.

7.REFERENCES

- Xie, J., Xu, J., & Wang, Z. (2017). "Machine learning-based detection of SQL injection vulnerabilities." *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 168(7), 12-18.
- Wang, J., Zhang, T., & Liu, X. (2018). "Using machine learning to detect crosssite scripting vulnerabilities in web applications." *Security and Privacy*, 10(3), 123-135.
- Xu, D., Chen, J., & Yu, C. (2019). "A deep learning approach for web vulnerability detection." *Journal of Cyber Security*, 24(4), 215-227.
- Yang, Z., Liu, Y., & Li, M. (2020). "Unsupervised anomaly detection for web vulnerability detection." *Computers* & *Security*, 88, 101654.

- Ribeiro, A., Martins, C., & Silva, F. (2021). "A hybrid machine learning system for web vulnerability detection." *International Journal of Web Engineering and Technology*, 16(1), 45-58.
- Chen, T., Zhang, Y., & Zheng, L. (2018). "Exploring machine learning for web vulnerability detection." *Computational Intelligence and Security*, 15(2), 72-83.
- Smith, K., & Zhang, J. (2019).
 "Ensemble learning for web vulnerability detection." *Journal of Information Security*, 11(2), 195-204.
- Kumar, S., & Goel, S. (2017). "Feature extraction for vulnerability detection in web applications using machine learning." *International Journal of Cyber Security*, 13(1), 31-40.
- Li, W., & Wang, L. (2018). "Deep learning for detecting SQL injection vulnerabilities." *Journal of Computational Security*, 6(3), 19-29.
- Zhang, J., & Wang, Y. (2020). "Realtime machine learning-based web vulnerability detection systems." *Journal* of Software Engineering, 39(1), 49-60.